

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,697	07/27/2006	Pentti Korhonen	43289-223931	2415	
26694 VENABLE LI	7590 02/12/200 P	8	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 343	85		GEDEON, BRIAN T		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3766		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/552,697	KORHONEN, PENTTI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brian T. Gedeon	3766		
Brian 1. Ocacon	0700		

-			1					
	Brian T. Gedeon	3766						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request					
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on; (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. If							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a</li> </ol>								
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	ithin the time period set forth in 37 (	CFR 41.37(a).						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to			cause					
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DTOL OOA					
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the tollowing rejection(s):     Applicant's reply has overcome the tollowing rejection(s):     Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment of the p		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	nada bolon or apportada.							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 33-36.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will no	he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
See continuation sheet								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. ☐ Other:								
(Codd) Lawrel								
/Carl H. Layno/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3766								

The new limitation that the detected P-wave exclude atrial extrasystoles requires further search and consideration.